An Efficient Electrochemical Method for Synthesis of (1*h*-1,2,4-triazol-3-ylthio)benzen-1,2-diol Derivatives

Sayed Saeed Hosseiny Davarani,¹ Davood Nematollahi,² and Mojtaba Shamsipur³

¹Department of Chemistry, Shahid Beheshti University, Tehran, Iran ²Faculty of Chemistry, Bu-Ali Sina University, Hamadan, Iran ³Department of Chemistry, Razi University, Kermanshah, Iran

Received 20 March 2006; revised 15 October 2006

ABSTRACT: Electrochemical oxidation of catechols (**1a-c**) has been studied in the presence of 3mercapto-1,2,4-triazole (**3**) as a nucleophile in water/acetonitrile (90/10) solutions. The results revealed that the quinones derived from catechols (**1a-c**) participate in the Michael addition reactions with anion of 3-mercapto-1,2,4-triazole (**3**) and are converted to the corresponding (1H-1,2,4-triazol-3-ylthio)benzen-1,2-diol derivatives (**4a-c**). © 2007 Wiley Periodicals, Inc. Heteroatom Chem 18:644–649, 2007; Published online in Wiley InterScience (www.interscience.wiley.com). DOI 10.1002/hc.20359

INTRODUCTION

Catechol is used in a variety of applications. It is used as a reagent for photography, dyeing fur, rubber and plastic production, and in pharmaceutical industry [1]. In addition, catechol derivatives play an important role in mammalian metabolism and many compounds of this type are known to be secondary metabolites of higher plants. In contrast, only 2 of more than 1800 examined antibiotics of microbial

Correspondence to: Davood Nematollahi; e-mail: nemat@basu. ac.ir.

Contract grant sponsor: Shahid Beheshti University. © 2007 Wiley Periodicals, Inc.

gation, which may lead to the discovery of selectively acting biodegradable agrochemicals having high human, animal, and plant compatibility [2]. Catechol itself and monosubstituted catechols (-OH, -CH₃, -OCH₃, -CHO, -COOH) are active in part against Pseudomonas and Bacillus, but not against Peni*cillium* species. Caffeic acid is inhibitory to soil bacteria and fungi, but specific differences exist, whereas its methyl ester has more pronounced activity against Bacillus and Pseudomonas species. Hydroxychavicol inhibits a greater number of microorganisms including Pseudomonas, Cladosporium, and Pythium species. Many of flavonoids and catechol derivatives are also antimicrobial agents [3]. On the other hand, several members of the 1,2,4-triazole family have shown interesting biological properties, such as anti-allergic [4], antibacterial [5], and anti-HIV activity [6]. In addition, 1,2,4-triazoles are found to be in herbicides, fungicides, and dyes [7].

origin contain a catechol substructure. Therefore,

the catechol derivatives are a promising group of

compounds and are worthwhile for further investi-

To synthesize compounds bearing 1,2,4-triazole and catechol moieties, we have investigated the electrochemical oxidation of catechols in the presence of 3-mercapto-1,2,4-triazole (**3**) as a nucleophile. The present work has led to the development of a facile and environmentally friendly reagent-less electrochemical method for synthesis of some new



(1*H*-1,2,4-triazol-3-ylthio)benzen-1,2-diol derivatives at ambient conditions, with high-atomic economy and in undivided cell using graphite electrode.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Cyclic voltammetry of a 2 mM solution of catechol (1a) in water/acetonitrile (90/10) solution containing 0.2 M acetate buffer (pH 5.5) shows one anodic (A_1) and a corresponding cathodic peak (C_1) , which correspond to the transformation of catechol (1a) to o-benzoquinone (2a) and vice versa through a quasireversible two-electron process (Fig. 1, curve a). A peak current ratio (I_p^{Cl}/I_p^{Al}) of nearly unity, particularly during the repetitive recycling of potential, can be considered as a criterion for the stability of o-benzoquinone produced at the surface of electrode, under the experimental conditions. It is noteworthy that the hydroxylation [8] or dimerization [9] reactions are too slow to be observed on the time scale of cyclic voltammetry. To get further support on the electrochemical oxidation of catechol (1a), the reaction was studied in the presence of 3-mercapto-1,2,4-triazole (3) as a nucleophile. Figure 1 (curve b) shows the cyclic voltammogram obtained for a 2 mM solution of 1a in the presence of 2 mM 3-mercapto-1,2,4-triazole (3). The voltammogram clearly exhibits an increase in anodic peak A₁ and a decrease in the cathodic peak C₁. For comparison, the cyclic voltammogram of a 2 mM solution of 3-mercapto-1,2,4-triazole (3) is shown in Fig. 1 (curve c).

The multicyclic voltammograms of 1a in the presence of 3 are shown in Fig. 2. The voltammograms exhibit a relatively intense decrease in anodic peak current (A₁) together with some potential shift in a positive direction. The positive shift of the A₁ peak in the presence of 3 is probably due to the formation of a thin film of product at the surface of the electrode, inhibiting to certain extent the performance of electrode process [10].

Furthermore, it was observed that the height of the C₁ peak increased proportionally to the augmentation of potential sweep rate (Fig. 3, curves a–f). This confirms the reactivity of **2a** toward anion **3**. A similar situation was observed when the 3-mercapto-1,2,4-triazole (**3**) to **1a** concentration ratio is decreased. Moreover, the current function for the A₁ peak ($I_p^{Al}/v^{1/2}$) decreases slightly with an increasing scan rate (Fig. 3, curve g).

Controlled-potential coulometry was performed in an aqueous solution, containing 0.5 mmol (0.055 g) of **1a** and 0.5 mmol (0.051 g) of **3** at the potential of A₁ peak. The monitoring of elec-



FIGURE 1 Cyclic voltammograms of 2 mM catechol (1a) in the absence (a) and presence (b) of 2 mM 3-mercapto-1,2,4-triazole (3) and (c) 2 mM 3-mercapto-1,2,4-triazole (3) alone, at glassy carbon electrode (1.8 mm diameter) in water/acetonitrile (90/10) solution containing 0.2 M acetate buffer (pH 5.5). Scan rate: 50 mV s⁻¹; $t = 25 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C.

trolysis progress was carried out by cyclic voltammetry (Fig. 4). It is shown that, proportional to the advancement of coulometry, anodic peak A_1 decreases and disappear when the charge consumption becomes $2.3e^-$ per molecule of **1a**. These coulometry and voltammetry results allow us to propose an EC (electrochemical and chemical



FIGURE 2 Multicyclic voltammograms of 2 mM catechol (**1a**) in the presence of 2 mM 3-mercapto-1,2,4-triazole (**3**), at glassy carbon electrode (1.8 mm diameter) in water/acetonitrile (90/10) solution containing 0.2 M acetate buffer (pH 5.5). Scan rate: 50 mV s⁻¹; $t = 25 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C.



FIGURE 3 Typical voltammograms of 2.0 mM catechol (**1a**) in the presence of 2.0 mM 3-mercapto-1,2,4-triazole (**3**) in water/acetonitrile (90/10) solution containing 0.2 M acetate buffer (pH 5.5) at a glassy carbon electrode and at various scan rates. Scan rates from (a) to (f) are 50, 100, 200, 400, 800, and 1600 mV s⁻¹, respectively. Curve g: variation of peak current function $(I_p^{Al}/v^{1/2})$ versus the scan rate. Curve h: variation of peak current (I_p^{O}) versus the scan rate. $t = 25 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C.



FIGURE 4 Cyclic voltammogram of 0.5 mmol catechol (**1a**) in the presence of 0.5 mmol 3-mercapto-1,2,4-triazole (**3**) in water/acetonitrile (90/10) solution containing 0.2 M actate buffer (pH 5.5) at a glassy carbon electrode during controlled-potential coulometry at 0.5 V versus 3M Ag\AgCl after the consumption of (a) 0, (b) 26, (c) 38, (d) 55, and (e) 68 C. (f) Variation of peak current (I_{DA1}) versus charge consumed. Scan rate 50 mV s⁻¹. $t = 25 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C.



SCHEME 1

reactions) mechanism [11] for the electrooxidation of **1a** in the presence of 3-mercapto-1,2,4-triazole (**3**) (Scheme 1).

According to our results, the Michael addition reaction of **3** to *o*-quinone (**2a**) (Eq. (2)) seems to occur much faster than other side reactions, leading to the product **4a**. The overoxidation of **4a** was circumvented during the preparative reaction because of the presence of mercaptotriazole group with electron-withdrawing character [12] on the catechol ring (Fig. 5) as well as the insolubility of the product in acetate buffer solution medium. The reason for anomalous increase in A₁ peak current, in the presence of 3-mercapto-1,2,4-triazole (**3**), is due to the presence of adsorption current in A₁ peak current arising from adsorption of mercaptocontaining compounds on the surface of electrode (Fig. 3, curve h) [13].

The electro-oxidation of 3-methylcatechol (1b) and 3-methoxycatechol (1c) in the presence of 3 in acetate buffer solution proceeded in a similar way to that of 1a. The presence of a methyl and methoxy groups at the C-3 position of 1b and 1c, respectively, probably causes *o*-benzoquinones derived from the

oxidation of these catechols (**2b** and **2c**) to be attacked by **3** at the C-4 or C-5 positions to yield two types of products in each case (Fig. 6).

Spectroscopic characterization by ¹H NMR spectroscopy of crude products indicated the presence of singlet, relative to aromatic hydrogens ($\delta = 6.47$ and 6.42 ppm for **4b** and **4c**, respectively) at C-5, thus originating **4b** and **4c**. The addition to C-4 in the generation of more complex feature, once ortho hydrogens, would couple, which would result in a doublet with a coupling constant, *J*, of about 10 Hz. These results are consistent with the presence of two protons in the catechol ring of **4b** and **4c** in meta position [14]. Therefore, according to ¹H NMR results, we suggest that *o*-quinones **2b** and **2c** are attacked from C-5 position selectively by **3**, leading to the formation of the products **4b** and **4c**, respectively.

The Effect of pH

In acidic and neutral media, the voltammograms of catechol (**1a**) show one anodic (A₁) and a corresponding cathodic peak (C₁), with a peak current ratio (I_p^{Cl}/I_p^{Al}) of near unity. However, in basic



FIGURE 5 Cyclic voltammogram of (a) 1 mM 3methylcatechol (**1b**), (b) saturated solution of obtained product (**4b**) at glassy carbon electrode in water/acetonitrile (45/55) solution containing 0.15 M sodium acetate, Scan rate: 100 mV s⁻¹; $t = 25 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C.



FIGURE 6 The structure of possible products in electrochemical oxidation of 3-methyl ($R = CH_3$) and 3-methoxycatechol ($R = OCH_3$) in the presence of 3-mercapto-1,2,4-triazole.

solutions, the peak current ratio (I_p^{Cl}/I_p^{Al}) is less than unity and decreases with increasing pH and decreasing sweep rate [10c,11b]. This is related to the coupling of anionic or dianionic forms of catechols with *o*-benzoquinones (dimerization reaction) [9]. The rate of the coupling reaction is pH dependent and is enhanced by increasing pH. The oxidation of catechol (1a) in the presence of 3-mercapto-1,2,4triazole (3) was studied at various pHs. The results showed that the peak current ratio $(I_{\rm p}^{\rm Cl}/I_{\rm p}^{\rm Al})$ decreases with increasing pH. This can be related to the deprotonation of 3-mercapto-1,2,4-triazole (3) and its subsequent activation toward a Michael addition. Thus, a solution of water/acetonitrile (90/10) mixture containing 0.2 M acetate buffer (pH 5.5) was employed as the most suitable solvent system for the synthesis of (1H-1,2,4-triazol-3-ylthio)benzen-1,2-diol derivatives mainly due to the decreased rate of the polymerization reaction of catechol and dimerization reaction of 3-mercapto-1,2,4-triazole (3) and the increased rate of the coupling reaction between 3-mercapto-1,2,4-triazole (3) and o-benzoquinone (2a).

EXPERIMENTAL

Apparatus and Reagents

Cyclic voltammetry was performed using a computerized 747 Metrohm polarograph and controlledpotential coulometry and preparative electrolysis were performed using a Behpajoh model BHP-2062 potentiostat/galvanostat. The working electrode used in the voltammetry experiments was a glassy carbon disk (1.8 mm diameter), and a platinum wire was used as the counter electrode. The working electrode used in controlled-potential coulometry and macroscale electrolysis was an assembly of eight graphite rods (8 mm diameter and 6 cm length), and a large platinum gauze constituted the counter electrode. The working electrode potentials were measured versus 3 M Ag\AgCl (graphite rods were obtained from Azar Electrode (Orumiyeh, Iran) and all other electrodes were obtained from Metrohm (Herisau, Switzerland)).

All chemicals (catechols and 3-mercapto-1,2,4triazole) were reagent-grade materials, and sodium acetate and other solvents and reagents were of proanalysis grade; all of them were obtained from E. Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). These chemicals were used without further purification.

Electro-organic Synthesis of **4a–c**

A solution (about 100 mL) of acetate buffer (C =0.2 M, pH 5.5) in water/acetonitrile (90/10) solution, containing 2 mmol of catechol (1a-c) and 2 mmol of 3-mercapto-1,2,4-triazole (3), was electrolyzed at 0.40 V versus 3 M Ag/AgCl, in an undivided cell. The electrolysis was terminated when the current decreased by more than 95%. The process was interrupted during the electrolysis, and the graphite anode was washed in acetone to reactivate it. At the end of electrolysis, a few drops of acetic acid were added to the solution and the cell was placed in a refrigerator for overnight. The precipitated solid was collected by filtration and was purified with column chromatography by using a dichloromethaneethanol (20:80) mixture as eluent. After purification, the products were characterized by IR, ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, and MS.

Characteristics of 4-(1*H*-1,2,4-*Triazol*-3-ylthio)benzen-1,2-diol (**4a**). mp 196–198°C (dec.); IR (KBr): 3405, 3112, 2921, 1584, 1515, 1474, 1374, 1333, 1287, 1274, 1211, 1080, 1027, 974, 870, 720, 629; ¹H NMR (300 MHz DMSO d_6) δ : 6.46 (s, 1H, C-6), 7.97–8.59 (m, 3H, C-3, C-4, and C-7), 10.05 (broad, 1H, –OH), 13.53 (broad, 1H, –OH), 13.82 (broad, 1H, –NH); ¹³C NMR (300 MHz DMSO d_6) δ: 116.3 (C-6), 125.1 (C-3), 131.2 (C-4), 145.1 (C-5), 146.8 (C-2), 147.6 (C-1), 148.6 (C-8), 150.5 (C-7); MS: m/z (%) 209 (M⁺, 30.6), 141 (M-[C₂H₂N₃], 22.4), 101 (M-catechol, 100), 74 (32.6), 42 (42.8).

Characteristics of 5-(*1H*-1,2,4-*Triazol*-3-*ylthio*)-3*methylbenzen*-1,2-*diol* (**4b**). mp 190–192°C (dec.); IR (KBr): 3528, 3118, 2848, 2684, 1570, 1474, 1406, 1376, 1281, 1215, 1036, 1004, 976, 869, 790, 714, 632; ¹H NMR (300 MHz DMSO d_6) δ : 2.22 (s, 3H, methyl); 6.47 (s, 1H, C-6); 8.47 (s, 1H, C-4); 8.67 (s, 1H, C-7); 9.81 (broad, 1H, –OH); 13.92 (broad, 1H, –OH); 14.20 (broad, 1H, –NH); ¹³C NMR (300 MHz DMSO d_6) δ : 15.3 (methyl), 114.1 (C-6), 131.5 (C-4), 143.7 (C-3), 145.1 (C-5), 145.9 (C-2), 147.6 (C-1), 157.5 (C-8), 159.1 (C-7); MS: m/z (%) 223 (M⁺, 69.4), 169 ([C₈H₉O₂S]⁺, 18.4), 124 (M-[C₂H₂N₃S], 16.3), 101 (M-3-methylcatechol, 100), 74 (30.6), 42 (65.3).

Characteristics of 5-(*1H*-1,2,4-*Triazol*-3-*ylthio*)-3*methoxybenzen*-1,2-*diol* (**4c**). mp 185–187°C (dec.); IR (KBr): 3412, 3265, 3108, 2852, 2680, 1626, 1545, 1467, 1438, 1384, 1344, 1283, 1193, 1087, 1003, 972, 879, 768, 693; ¹H NMR (300 MHz DMSO d_6) δ : 3.66 (s, 3H, methoxy); 6.42 (s, 1H, C-6); 8.3 (broad, 2H, C-4 and C-7); 9.8 (broad, 1H, –OH); 13.84 (broad, 2H, –OH and –NH); ¹³C NMR (300 MHz DMSO d_6) δ : 61.8 (methoxy), 119.2 (C-4), 121.4 (C-6), 129.6 (C-2), 141.8 (C-5), 145.3 (C-8), 149.4 (C-1), 151.2 (C-7), 159.1 (C-3); MS: m/z (%) 239 (M⁺, 18.4), 129 ([C₄H₅N₃S]⁺⁻57.1), 115 ([C₃H₅N₃S]⁺⁻, 100), 101 (M-3methoxycatechol, 55.1), 74 (36.7), 42 (9).

CONCLUSIONS

The results of this work show that catechols are oxidized to their respective *o*-quinones. The quinones are then attacked by the anion of 3-mercapto-1,2,4triazole (**3**). Contrary to Shahrokhian's report [15], final products are obtained via an EC mechanism, after consumption of only $2e^-$ per molecule of catechols (**1a–c**). The overall reaction mechanisms for anodic oxidation of catechols (**1a–c**) in the presence of **3** as nucleophile are presented in Scheme 1. According to our results, it seems that the Michael reaction of this nucleophile to *o*-quinones formed leads to the formation of new catechol derivatives as final products in good yield and purity.

REFERENCES

- [1] Schweigert, N.; Zehnder, A. J. B.; Eggen, R. I. L. Environ Microbiol 2001, 3, 81.
- [2] AMICBASE-EssOil, Database on Natural Antimicrobials, Review Science, Germany, 1999– 2002.
- [3] Pauli, A. In Third World Congress on Allelopathy, Tsukuba, Japan, August 26–30, 2002.
- [4] (a) Buckle, D. R.; Rockell, C. J. M. J Chem Soc, Perkin Trans 1982, 1, 627; (b) Buckle, D. R.; Outred, D. J.; Rockell, C. J. M.; Smith, H.; Spicer, B. A. J Med Chem 1983, 26, 251; (c) Buckle, D. R.; Rockell, C. J. M.; Smith, H.; Spicer, B. A. J Med Chem 1986, 29, 2269.
- [5] Genin, M. J.; Allwine, D. A.; Anderson, D. J.; Barbachyn, M. R.; Emmert, D. E.; Garmon, S. A.; Graber, D. R.; Grega, K. C.; Hester, J. B.; Hutchinson, D. K.; Morris, J.; Reischer, R. J.; Ford, C. W.; Zurenko, G. E.; Hamel, J. C.; Schaadt, R. D.; Stapert, D.; Yagi, B. H. J Med Chem 2000, 43, 953.
- [6] Alvarez, R.; Velazquez, S.; San-Felix, A.; Aquaro, S.; De Clercq, E.; Perno, C.-F.; Karlsson, A.; Balzarini, J.; Camarasa, M. J. J Med Chem 1994, 37, 4185.
- [7] Wamhoff, H. In Comprehensive Heterocyclic Chemistry; Katritzky, A. R., Rees, C. W. (Eds.); Pergamon: Oxford, 1984; Vol. 5, pp. 669–732.
- [8] (a) Papouchado, L.; Petrie, G.; Adams, R. N. J Electroanal Chem 1972, 38, 389; (b) Papouchado, L.; Petrie, G.; Sharp, J. H.; Adams, R. N. J Am Chem Soc 1968, 90, 5620; (c) Young, T. E.; Griswold, J. R.; Hulbert, M. H. J Org Chem 1974, 39, 1980.
- [9] (a) Ryan, M. D.; Yueh, A.; Wen-Yu, C. J Electrochem Soc 1980, 127, 1489; (b) Nematollahi, D.; Rafiee, M.; Samadi-Maybodi, A. Electrochim Acta 2004, 49, 2495.
- [10] (a) Nematollahi, D.; Goodarzi, H. J Org Chem 2002, 67, 5036; (b) Nematollahi, D.; Habibi, D.; Rahmati, M.; Rafiee, M. J Org Chem 2004, 6, 2637; (c) Nematollahi, D.; Rafiee, M.; J Electroanal Chem 2004, 566, 31.
- [11] (a) Bard, A. J.; Faulkner, L. R. Electrochemical Methods, 2nd ed.; Wiley: New York, 2001, p. 497;
 (b) Nematollahi, D.; Alimoradi, M.; Husain, S. W. Electroanalysis 2004, 16, 1395; (c) Nematollahi, D.; Rahchamani, R. A. Tetrahedron Lett 2002, 43, 147;
 (d) Nematollahi, D.; Rahchamani, R. A. J Electroanal Chem 2002, 520, 145.
- [12] Hansch, C.; Leo, A.; Taft, R. W. Chem Rev 1991, 97, 165.
- [13] (a) Chan, E. W. L.; Yousaf, M. N.; Mrksich, M. J Phys Chem A 2000, 104, 9315; (b) Yousaf, M. N.; Mrksich, M. J Am Chem Soc 1999, 121, 4286.
- [14] Silverstein, R. M.; Webster, F. M. Spectrometric Identification of Organic Compounds; 6th ed.; Wiley: New York, 1998; p. 212.
- [15] Shahrokhian, S.; Amiri, M. Electrochem Commun 2005, 7, 68.